arXiv:gr-qc/0401085v2 8 Nov 2004

CONSERVED CURRENTS IN D-DIMENSIONAL GRAVITY AND BRANE COSMOLOGY[1]

Alexander N. Petrov[2]
Stergnberg Astronimical Institute,
Universitetskii prospect 13, Moscow 119992, Russia

In D-dimensional gravity on arbitrary curved backgrounds using proven methods conserved currents, divergences of antisymmetric tensor densities (superpotentials), are constructed. The superpotentials have two remarkable properties: they depend in an essential way on second derivatives in the Lagrangian and are independent on divergences added to it. In particular, these conserved currents are well adapted to the case of perturbations in Gauss-Bonnet cosmological brane theories.

In general relativity (GR), gauge theories and supergravity, as a rule, conserved currents (vector densities) are constructed as divergences of antisymmetrical tensor densities (superpotentials). Frequently the use of an auxiliary background spacetime turns out even inevitable (see [2] - [8] and references there in). With this one has to note that there are other approaches without using backgrounds. One of them is developed, e.g., in [9, 10], where the Nœther charges connected with asymptotic Killing vectors in asymptotically anti-de Sitter theories of gravity are constructed with using special surface terms added to a Lagrangian.

Here, developing the approach with backgrounds we consider an arbitrary D-dimensional theory of gravity. Keeping in mind, say, scalar-tensor theories of gravity, or other generalizations of gravity, we use the generalized gravitational variable $A_B \in g_{\mu\nu}, \Phi_B$ where $g_{\mu\nu}$ is D-dimensional metric and Φ_B is a set of tensor density fields (not spinor ones). (If it is necessary, it could be assumed that Φ_B includes matter, non-gravitational variables.) We assume that Lagrangian density $\hat{L}(A_B;\, A_{B,\alpha};\, A_{B,\alpha\beta})$ for gravitational field includes the second derivatives as well as the first ones; hats "$\hat{}$" mean densities of the weight $+1$. We consider also an external auxiliary metric $\overline{g}_{\mu\nu}$ of a fixed arbitrary curved background spacetime with the Riemannian tensor $\overline{R}^{\alpha}{}_{\beta\mu\nu}$; bars mean background quantities. To include $\overline{g}_{\mu\nu}$ we, as usual [11], rewrite the ordinary derivatives ($_{,\alpha}$) over the covariant with respect to $\overline{g}_{\mu\nu}$ derivatives ($_{;\alpha}$). Then the Lagrangian acquires an explicitly covariant form:

$$\hat{L} = \hat{\mathcal{L}} = \hat{\mathcal{L}}(A_B;\, A_{B;\alpha};\, A_{B;\alpha\beta}). \tag{1}$$

Now indexes are shifted by $\overline{g}_{\mu\nu}$ and $\overline{g}^{\mu\nu}$.

---

[1] Firstly, a more part of the results were presented on the Conference GR16 [1].

[2] E-mail: anpetrov@rol.ru

The Lagrangian (1), as a scalar density, satisfies the identity: $\pounds_\xi \hat{\mathcal{L}} + (\xi^\alpha \hat{\mathcal{L}})_{,\alpha} \equiv 0$ where, following to notations in [11], we define the Lie derivative with respect to a vector field ξ^α as $\pounds_\xi A_B \equiv -\xi^\alpha A_{B;\alpha} + \xi^\beta{}_{;\alpha}\, A_B|^\alpha_\beta$. This identity can be rewritten in the form:

$$\left[L^B A_{B;\alpha} + (L^B\, A_B|^\beta_\alpha)_{;\beta}\right] \xi^\alpha - \left[\hat u^\alpha_\sigma \xi^\sigma + \hat m^{\alpha\beta}_\sigma \xi^\sigma{}_{;\beta} + \hat n^{\alpha\beta\gamma}_\sigma \xi^\sigma{}_{;\beta\gamma}\right]_{;\alpha} \equiv 0. \tag{2}$$

In (2), the coefficients are defined by the Lagrangian in unique way:

$$\hat u^\alpha_\sigma \equiv \hat{\mathcal{L}} \delta^\alpha_\sigma + L^B\, A_B|^\alpha_\sigma - M^{B\alpha} A_{B;\sigma} - N^{B\alpha\beta} A_{B;\beta\sigma} - \hat n^{\alpha\beta\gamma}_\lambda \overline{R}^\lambda{}_{\beta\gamma\sigma}, \tag{3}$$

$$\hat m^{\alpha\beta}_\sigma \equiv M^{B\alpha}\, A_B|^\beta_\sigma + N^{B\alpha\gamma} \left[(A_B|^\beta_\sigma)_{;\gamma} - \delta^\beta_\gamma A_{B;\sigma}\right], \tag{4}$$

$$\hat n^{\alpha\beta\gamma}_\sigma \equiv N^{B\alpha(\beta}\, A_B|^{\gamma)}_\sigma\,. \tag{5}$$

We use the notations for Lagrangian derivatives $L^B \equiv \delta \hat{\mathcal{L}}/\delta A_B$, and

$$M^{B\alpha} \equiv \partial \hat{\mathcal{L}}/\partial A_{B;\alpha} - \left(\partial \hat{\mathcal{L}}/\partial A_{B;\alpha\beta}\right)_{;\beta}, \quad N^{B\alpha\beta} \equiv \partial \hat{\mathcal{L}}/\partial A_{B;\alpha\beta}.$$

The coefficient at ξ^σ in the first term in (2) is identically equal to zero (generalized Bianchi identity). The identity (2) has thus the form of the differential conservation law:

$$\hat I^\alpha{}_{;\alpha} \equiv \hat I^\alpha{}_{,\alpha} \equiv 0. \tag{6}$$

For a generalized current we prefer to use the helpful form:

$$\hat I^\alpha \equiv -\left[(\hat u^\alpha_\sigma + \hat n^{\alpha\beta\gamma}_\lambda \overline{R}^\lambda{}_{\beta\gamma\sigma})\xi^\sigma + \hat m^{\alpha\beta}_\sigma \bar g^{\sigma\rho} \xi_{[\rho,\beta]} + \hat z^\alpha(\xi)\right] \tag{7}$$

with z-term defined as

$$2\hat z^\alpha(\xi) \equiv -\hat m^{\alpha\beta}_\sigma \bar g^{\sigma\rho} \pounds_\xi \bar g_{\beta\rho} + \hat n^{\alpha\beta\gamma}_\sigma \bar g^{\sigma\rho} \left[(\pounds_\xi \bar g_{\beta\gamma})_{;\rho} - 2(\pounds_\xi \bar g_{\beta\rho})_{;\gamma}\right]. \tag{8}$$

If ξ^α is a Killing vector of the background, then $\hat z^\alpha(\xi) = 0$ and the current (7) is determined by the energy-momentum u-term and the spin m-term. Opening the identity (6) and, since ξ^σ, $\xi^\sigma{}_{,\alpha}$, $\xi^\sigma{}_{,\alpha,\beta}$ and $\xi^\sigma{}_{,\alpha,\beta,\gamma}$ are arbitrary at every world point, equalizing independently to zero the coefficients at ξ^σ, $\xi^\sigma{}_{;\alpha}$, $\xi^\sigma{}_{(;\alpha;\beta)}$ and $\xi^\sigma{}_{(;\alpha;\beta;\gamma)}$ we get a "cascade" (in terminology by Julia and Silva [3]) of identities:

$$\begin{aligned}
&\hat u^\alpha_{\sigma;\alpha} + \tfrac{1}{2}\hat m^{\alpha\rho}_\lambda \overline{R}_\sigma{}^\lambda{}_{\rho\alpha} + \tfrac{1}{3}\hat n^{\alpha\rho\gamma}_\lambda \overline{R}_\sigma{}^\lambda{}_{\rho\alpha;\gamma} \equiv 0,\\
&\hat u^\alpha_\sigma + \hat m^{\lambda\alpha}_\sigma{}_{;\lambda} + \hat n^{\tau\alpha\rho}_\lambda \overline{R}_\sigma{}^\lambda{}_{\rho\tau} + \tfrac{2}{3}\hat n^{\lambda\tau\rho}_\sigma \overline{R}^\alpha{}_{\tau\rho\lambda} \equiv 0,\\
&\hat m^{(\alpha\beta)}_\sigma + \hat n^{\lambda(\alpha\beta)}_\sigma{}_{;\lambda} \equiv 0,\\
&\hat n^{(\alpha\beta\gamma)}_\sigma \equiv 0.
\end{aligned} \tag{9}$$

On the other hand, since Eq. (6) is identically satisfied, the current (7) must be a divergence of a superpotential $\hat\Phi^{\alpha\beta}$ for which $\hat\Phi^{\alpha\beta}{}_{,\alpha\beta} \equiv 0$, that is

$$\hat I^\alpha \equiv \hat\Phi^{\alpha\beta}{}_{;\beta} \equiv \hat\Phi^{\alpha\beta}{}_{,\beta}. \tag{10}$$

Indeed, substituting $\hat{u}^{\alpha}_{\sigma}$ and $\hat{m}^{\alpha\beta}_{\sigma}$ from Eqs. (9) *directly* into the current and using algebraic properties of $n^{\alpha\beta\gamma}_{\sigma}$ and $\overline{R}^{\alpha}{}_{\beta\rho\sigma}$ we reconstruct (7) into the form (10) where the superpotential is

$$\hat{\Phi}^{\alpha\beta} \equiv \left(\hat{m}^{\beta\alpha}_{\sigma} + \hat{n}^{\lambda\beta\alpha}_{\sigma}{}_{;\lambda}\right)\xi^{\sigma} + \tfrac{2}{3}\xi^{\sigma}\hat{n}^{[\alpha\beta]\lambda}_{\sigma}{}_{;\lambda} - \tfrac{4}{3}\hat{n}^{[\alpha\beta]\lambda}_{\sigma}\xi^{\sigma}{}_{;\lambda}. \tag{11}$$

It is antisymmetric in α and β by the third identity in Eqs. (9). Of course, Eq. (10) is only the other form of the differential conservation law Eq. (6).

Let us find contributions into currents and superpotentials from a divergence in the Lagrangian $\delta\hat{\mathcal{L}} = \hat{k}^{\nu}{}_{,\nu}$. For the scalar density $\hat{k}^{\nu}{}_{,\nu}$ one has the identity $(\pounds_{\xi}\hat{k}^{\alpha} + \xi^{\alpha}\hat{k}^{\nu}{}_{,\nu})_{,\alpha} \equiv 0$ which gives contributions (i) $\delta\hat{I}^{\alpha}$ into the current (7) with coefficients: $\delta\hat{u}^{\alpha}_{\sigma} = 2(\delta^{[\alpha}_{\sigma}\hat{k}^{\beta]})_{;\beta}$, $\delta\hat{m}^{\alpha\beta}_{\sigma} = 2\delta^{[\alpha}_{\sigma}\hat{k}^{\beta]}$, $\delta\hat{n}^{\alpha\beta\gamma}_{\sigma} = 0$; and (ii) $\delta\hat{\Phi}^{\alpha\beta} = -2\xi^{[\alpha}\hat{k}^{\beta]}$ into the superpotential (11). Then, for $\hat{\mathcal{L}} \to \hat{\mathcal{L}} + \delta\hat{\mathcal{L}}$ Eq. (10) changes as

$$\hat{I}^{\alpha} + \delta\hat{I}^{\alpha} \equiv \left(\hat{\Phi}^{\alpha\beta} + \delta\hat{\Phi}^{\alpha\beta}\right)_{;\beta}, \tag{12}$$

where the changes do not depend on a structure of $\hat{k}^{\nu}$.

It is well known that without changing the identity (6) we can add to the current an arbitrary quantity $\Delta\hat{I}^{\alpha}(\xi)$ satisfying $[\Delta\hat{I}^{\alpha}(\xi)]_{,\alpha} \equiv 0$. Analogously, without changing $\hat{I}^{\alpha}$ in (10) the superpotential can be added by $\Delta\hat{\Phi}^{\alpha\tau}(\xi)$, if $[\Delta\hat{\Phi}^{\alpha\beta}(\xi)]_{,\beta} \equiv 0$. However, the "broken" current and superpotential can be "improved" by the same way because the quantities $\Delta\hat{I}^{\alpha}(\xi)$ and $\Delta\hat{\Phi}^{\alpha\beta}(\xi)$ are not connected *at all* with the above procedure applied to the given Lagrangian. Whereas, since the current and the superpotential in Eq. (10) are defined by the coefficients (3) - (5), they are *uniquely* determined by the Lagrangian and the outlined procedure. This claim develops also the criteria by Szabados [12] who suggested to consider a connection of pseudotensors with Lagrangians "as a selection rule to choose from the mathematically possible pseudotensors".

Next, using an old rule due to Belinfante (see [13] and [8]) we define a tensor density

$$\hat{s}^{\alpha\beta\sigma} \equiv -\hat{s}^{\beta\alpha\sigma} \equiv -\hat{m}^{\sigma[\alpha}_{\lambda}\bar{g}^{\beta]\lambda} - \hat{m}^{\alpha[\sigma}_{\lambda}\bar{g}^{\beta]\lambda} + \hat{m}^{\beta[\sigma}_{\lambda}\bar{g}^{\alpha]\lambda} \tag{13}$$

and add $(\hat{s}^{\alpha\beta\sigma}\xi_{\sigma})_{;\beta}$ to both sides of (10). This generates a new conservation law of the form:

$$\hat{I}^{\alpha}_{(b)} \equiv \hat{\Phi}^{\alpha\beta}_{(b);\beta} \equiv \hat{\Phi}^{\alpha\beta}_{(b),\beta}. \tag{14}$$

This modification cancels the spin from the current (7):

$$\hat{I}^{\alpha}_{(b)} \equiv \left(-\hat{u}^{\alpha}_{\sigma} + \hat{s}^{\alpha\beta}{}_{\sigma;\beta}\right)\xi^{\sigma} + \hat{z}^{\alpha}_{(b)}(\xi) \equiv \hat{u}^{\alpha}_{(b)\sigma}\xi^{\sigma} + \hat{z}^{\alpha}_{(b)}(\xi), \tag{15}$$

and a new z-term appears, which is also equal to zero for Killing vectors of the background. Thus, the current $\hat{I}^{\alpha}_{(b)}$ is defined, in fact, by the modified energy-momentum tensor density $\hat{u}^{\alpha}_{(b)\sigma}$. Because the new superpotential depends on the n-coefficients only:

$$\hat{\Phi}^{\alpha\beta}_{(b)} \equiv 2\left(\tfrac{1}{3}\hat{n}^{[\alpha\beta]\rho}_{\sigma}{}_{;\rho} + \hat{n}^{\tau\rho[\alpha}_{\lambda}{}_{;\tau}\bar{g}^{\beta]\lambda}\bar{g}_{\rho\sigma}\right)\xi^{\sigma} - \tfrac{4}{3}\hat{n}^{[\alpha\beta]\lambda}_{\sigma}\xi^{\sigma}{}_{;\lambda}, \tag{16}$$

it does not exist for Lagrangians with only the first order derivatives. On the other hand, the superpotential (16) is well adapted to theories with second derivatives in Lagrangians, like GR or brane cosmologies with Gauss-Bonnet Lagrangian. With (13) we conclude that in the sense of our procedure the current $\hat{I}^\alpha_{(b)}$ and the superpotential $\hat{\Phi}^{\alpha\beta}_{(b)}$ are defined also in unique way by the Lagrangian (1).

It is important to note that the new currents (15) and superpotentials (16) are independent on divergences $\delta\hat{\mathcal{L}} = \hat{k}^\nu{}_{,\nu}$ added to the Lagrangian (see also [8]). Indeed, the quantity (13) constructed for $\delta\hat{m}^{\alpha\beta}_\sigma$ (see (12)) gives $\delta\hat{s}^{\alpha\beta\sigma}\xi_\sigma = 2\xi^{[\alpha}\hat{k}^{\beta]}$. The addition of $(\hat{s}^{\alpha\beta\sigma}\xi_\sigma + \delta\hat{s}^{\alpha\beta\sigma}\xi_\sigma)_{;\beta}$ to Eq. (12) cancels the full spin m-term and suppresses $\delta\hat{u}^\alpha_\sigma$ and $\delta\hat{\Phi}^{\alpha\beta}$ because $\delta\hat{u}^\alpha_\sigma - (\delta\hat{s}^{\alpha\beta\rho}\overline{g}_{\rho\sigma})_{;\beta} = 0$ and $\delta\hat{\Phi}^{\alpha\beta} + \delta\hat{s}^{\alpha\beta\sigma}\xi_\sigma = 0$ for an arbitrary $\hat{k}^\nu$.

Notice also that conservation laws in the form (10), (12) or (14) are identities. To transform them into physically sensible conservation laws one has to use field equations in the currents on the left hand sides.

As an example, consider a perturbed model of a brane cosmology. Let the role of A_B in (1) is only played by the physical metric $g_{\mu\nu}$ of a 5-dimensional bulk; $g = \det g_{\mu\nu}$. The physical curvature tensor with including the background metric $\overline{g}_{\mu\nu}$ can be rewritten in the form:

$$R^\lambda{}_{\tau\rho\sigma} = \Delta^\lambda_{\tau\sigma;\rho} - \Delta^\lambda_{\tau\rho;\sigma} + \Delta^\lambda_{\rho\eta}\Delta^\eta_{\tau\sigma} - \Delta^\lambda_{\eta\sigma}\Delta^\eta_{\tau\rho} + \overline{R}^\lambda{}_{\tau\rho\sigma} \tag{17}$$

(see, e.g., [2, 8]), where the tensor $2\Delta^\alpha_{\mu\nu} \equiv g^{\alpha\rho}(g_{\rho\mu;\nu} + g_{\rho\nu;\mu} - g_{\mu\nu;\rho})$. The Einstein-Gauss-Bonnet Lagrangian is [14, 15]:

$$\hat{\mathcal{L}}^{(5)} = -\tfrac{1}{2}M_*^3\sqrt{-g}[R - 2\Lambda + \alpha\underbrace{\left(R^2 - 4R^{\alpha\beta}R_{\alpha\beta} + R^{\alpha\beta\gamma\delta}R_{\alpha\beta\gamma\delta}\right)}_{l_{(2)}}] \tag{18}$$

where M_* is the string mass scale, $\alpha \propto M_*^{-2}$ and $l_{(2)}$ is the Gauss-Bonnet term. Like in Einstein's theory [2], we present a perturbed (with respect to a background spacetime) scenario for (18) by the Lagrangian:

$$\hat{\mathcal{L}}^{(5)}_{pert} = \hat{\mathcal{L}}^{(5)} - \overline{\hat{\mathcal{L}}^{(5)}} + div. \tag{19}$$

To construct a superpotential of the type (11) corresponding to (19) one has to go as follows. Keeping in mind (17) we present $\hat{\mathcal{L}}^{(5)}$ in the form (1) and substitute it into (11). To obtain a contribution from $\overline{\hat{\mathcal{L}}^{(5)}}$ we do the "bar" operation under the previous result. Finally, to take into account *div* in (19) we use (12). Here, we do not present an expression for this superpotential explicitly, it coincides with the one given recently in [16]. We note only that a choice of a divergence in (19) is in a one-to-one correspondance with boundary conditions under variation, and for each of divergences an unique superpotential corresponds. The Dirichlet boundary conditions are also permissible.

Let us turn to the new superpotential (16) that does not depend on divergences in the Lagrangian. The coefficient (5) corresponding $\hat{l}_{(2)} \equiv \sqrt{-g} l_{(2)}$ in (18) with presentation (17) is

$$\hat{n}^{\alpha\beta\gamma}_{(5)\sigma} = \left(g^{\alpha(\beta}\delta^{\gamma)}_{\rho} - \delta^{\alpha}_{\rho} g^{\beta\gamma}\right)\left(\hat{R}\delta^{\rho}_{\sigma} - 8\hat{R}^{\rho}_{\sigma}\right) + 4\hat{R}^{\alpha(\beta\gamma)}{}_{\sigma}.$$

Then the superpotential (16), corresponding (19), acquires the form

$$\begin{aligned} \hat{\Phi}^{\alpha\beta}_{(5b)} \equiv \; & M_*^3 \left[\delta\hat{g}^{\sigma[\alpha}\xi^{\beta]}{}_{;\sigma} + \xi^{[\alpha}\delta\hat{g}^{\beta]\sigma}{}_{;\sigma} - \overline{g}^{\rho[\alpha}\delta\hat{g}^{\beta]\sigma}{}_{;\rho}\xi_{\sigma}\right] \\ & + \alpha M_*^3 \left[\tfrac{2}{3}\delta\hat{n}^{[\alpha\beta]\lambda}_{(5)\sigma}\xi^{\sigma}{}_{;\lambda} - \left(\tfrac{1}{3}\delta\hat{n}^{[\alpha\beta]\rho}_{(5)\sigma}{}_{;\rho} + \delta\hat{n}^{\tau\rho[\alpha}_{(5)\lambda}{}_{;\tau}\overline{g}^{\beta]\lambda}\overline{g}_{\rho\sigma}\right)\xi^{\sigma}\right] \end{aligned} \qquad (20)$$

with $\hat{g}^{\alpha\beta} \equiv \sqrt{-g} g^{\alpha\beta}$, $\delta\hat{g}^{\alpha\beta} = \hat{g}^{\alpha\beta} - \overline{\hat{g}^{\alpha\beta}}$ and $\delta\hat{n}^{\alpha\beta\gamma}_{(5)\sigma} = \hat{n}^{\alpha\beta\gamma}_{(5)\sigma} - \overline{\hat{n}^{\alpha\beta\gamma}_{(5)\sigma}}$.

The present work has some connections with previous results in [2] and [8]. In the work by Katz, Bičák and Lynden-Bell [2] (KBL), with using the standard procedure (analogously to (1) - (12) here) superpotentials and currents for perturbations on arbitrary curved backgrounds in GR were constructed. In our work [8], the KBL system was modified by the Belinfante method.

The Lagrangian (19) transfers to the KBL Lagrangian [2]: $\hat{\mathcal{L}}^{(5)}_{pert} \to \hat{\mathcal{L}}_G$ after changing $D = 5$ by $D = 4$, and if $M_*^{-3} = \kappa$ (Einstein constant), $\alpha = 0$ and $div = (2\kappa)^{-2}(\hat{g}^{\rho\sigma}\Delta^{\nu}_{\rho\sigma} - \hat{g}^{\nu\rho}\Delta^{\sigma}_{\rho\sigma})_{,\nu}$. The substitution of $\hat{\mathcal{L}}_G$ into (7), (11) and (12) gives exactly the KBL conservation laws [2]. Our conclusion is, thus, that the KBL results in the sense of the procedure are uniquely defined by the KBL Lagrangian $\hat{\mathcal{L}}_G$. The problem of uniqueness in definition of the KBL currents and superpotentials already was considered. So, Julia and Silva [3, 4], and independently Chen and Nester [5], stated that the KBL quantities are *unique* defined by Dirichlet boundary conditions. Thus, our results are in a correspondance with [3, 4, 5], Besides, changing the divergence in the Lagrangian $\hat{\mathcal{L}}_G$ we define *uniquelly* another superpotentials and currents with different properties. An analogous assertion was done in [17] in the framework of the covariant Hamiltonian approach.

Concerning our results in [8], substitution of the KBL Lagrangian $\hat{\mathcal{L}}_G$ into Eqs. (13) - (16) gives exactly corresponding expressions for GR obtained by the Belinfante method [8]. By this we conclude that the conservation laws in [8] are *uniquely* defined by $\hat{\mathcal{L}}_G$ in the sense of the procedure. Note also, that the superpotential (20) becomes the one in [8] for $D = 4$ setting $M_*^{-3} = \kappa$ and $\alpha = 0$.

Acknowledgments. The author is grateful to Joseph Katz for very useful and important remarks and discussions, for advices that helped to formulate the paper in the present form. He thanks Laszlo Szabados for explanation of their works and helpful conversations. He expresses also his gratitudes to Piotr Chruściel the discussions with whom at the beginning stage initiated the present consideration.

References

[1] Petrov A.N. “Generalized Belinfante’s Correction”, in: *Abstract Book of ‘GR16’* (Durban: 15 - 21 July, 2001), Sec.: A.3, 87.

[2] Katz J., Bičák J. and Lynden-Bell D. 1997 *Phys. Rev. D* **55**, 5759.

[3] Julia B. and Silva S. *Class. Quantum Grav.*: 1998 **15**, 2173, gr-qc/9804029; 2000 **17**, 4733, gr-qc/0005127.

[4] Silva S. 1999 *Nucl. Phys. B* **558**, 391, hep-th/9809109.

[5] Chen C.-M. and Nester J. M. 1999 *Class. Quantum Grav.* **16**, 1279, gr-qc/9809020.

[6] Henneaux M., Julia B. and Silva S. 1999 *Nucl. Phys. B* **563**, 448, hep-th/9904003.

[7] Barnich G. and Brandt F. 2002 *Nucl. Phys. B* **633**, 3, hep-th/0111246.

[8] Petrov A.N. and Katz J. 2002 *Proc. R. Soc. London A* **458**, 319, gr-qc/9911025.

[9] Aros R., Contreras M., Olea R., Troncoso R., and Zanelli J. 2000 *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **84**, 1647, gr-qc/9909015.

[10] Aros R., Contreras M., Olea R., Troncoso R., Zanelli J. 2000 *Phys. Rev. D* **62**, 044002, gr-qc/9912045.

[11] Mitzkevich N.V., 1969 *The Physical Fields in General Theory of Relativity* Nauka, Moscow, in Russian.

[12] Szabados L.B. 1991 Preprint KFKT-1991-29/B; 1992 *Class. Quantum Grav.* **9**, 2521.

[13] Belinfante F. 1939 *Physica* **6**, 887.

[14] Deruelle N. and Dolezel T. 2000 *Phys. Rev. D* **62**, 104502, gr-qc/0004021.

[15] Devis S.C. 2003 *Phys. Rev. D* **67**, 024030, hep-th/0208205.

[16] Deruelle N., Katz J. and Ogushi S. 2004 *Class. Quantum Grav.* **9**, 2521, gr-qc/0310098.

[17] Chang C.C., Nester J.M. and Chen C.-M. 1999 *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **83**, 1897, gr-qc/9809040.